## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
vs.	) No. 01-cr-40066-0	05-JPG
RICARDO FOULKS,	)	
Defendant.	)	

## MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on defendant Ricardo Foulks' *pro se* motion for a reduction of his criminal sentence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) and United States

Sentencing Guidelines Manual ("U.S.S.G.") § 1B1.10 (Doc. 333). The Court appointed counsel for Foulks, and counsel has moved to withdraw on the basis that she can make no non-frivolous arguments in support of the defendant's request (Doc. 359). *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 744 (1967). The government has responded to the motions (Doc. 364). In response to counsel's motion to withdraw, the defendant filed another *pro se* motion for a sentence reduction, which the Court construes as a response to counsel's motion (Doc. 363).

Foulks pled guilty to one count of conspiring to distribute more than 50 grams of crack cocaine and two counts of distributing crack cocaine. At sentencing, the Court found by a preponderance of the evidence that Foulks' relevant conduct was at least 150 grams but less than 500 grams of crack cocaine, which under U.S.S.G.\(\frac{1}{2}\) 2D1.1 yielded a base offense level of 34. His offense level was reduced by three points under U.S.S.G.\(\frac{2}{2}\) 3E1.1 for acceptance of responsibility. The Court further found that Foulks was a career offender under U.S.S.G.\(\frac{2}{2}\)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Unless otherwise noted, the references to the guidelines in this order are to the 2001 United States Sentencing Guidelines Manual.

4B1.1 based on prior drug or violent felony convictions, which raised his base offense level to 37, again reduced by 3 to 34 for acceptance of responsibility. Considering Foulks' criminal history category of VI, established by his career offender status under U.S.S.G. § 4B1.1, this yielded a guidelines sentencing range of 262 to 327 months in prison on the conspiracy count and 240 months in prison on the distribution counts. The Court imposed a sentence of 262 months for the conspiracy count and 240 months on the distribution counts, less than the statutory maximum sentences established by Foulks' convictions. *See* 21 U.S.C. § 841(b)(1)(A) & (C). Foulks now asks the Court to apply recent changes to U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1 to lower his sentence.

Section 3582(c)(2) allows the Court to reduce a defendant's previously imposed sentence where "a defendant . . . has been sentenced to a term of imprisonment based on a sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered by the Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 994(o)." In doing so, the Court must consider the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and must ensure that any reduction "is consistent with applicable policy statements issued by the Sentencing Commission." 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). Thus, a defendant urging a sentence reduction under § 3582(c)(2) must satisfy two criteria: (1) the Sentencing Commission must have lowered the applicable guideline sentencing range, and (2) the reduction must be consistent with applicable policy statements issued by the Sentencing Commission. If the defendant cannot satisfy the first criterion, the Court has no subject matter jurisdiction to consider the reduction request. *United States v. Lawrence*, 535 F.3d 631, 637-38 (7th Cir. 2008); *see United States v. Forman*, 553 F.3d 585, 588 (7th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 129 S. Ct. 2817 (2009).

Foulks cannot satisfy the first criterion because he was not "sentenced to a term of

imprisonment based on a sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered by the Sentencing

Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 994(o)." 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). Amendments 706 and 711

amended U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(c) as of November 1, 2007, to lower by two points the base offense

levels associated with various amounts of crack cocaine. The Sentencing Commission amended

U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(c) intending to alter the disparity in sentences involving crack cocaine and

sentences involving powder cocaine. Foulks, however, was sentenced based on his base offense

level set forth in U.S.S.G. § 4B1.1, not his base offense level set forth in U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1. See

Forman, 553 F.3d at 589-90. Thus, his guideline range has not been lowered, and he cannot

satisfy the first criterion under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for obtaining a sentence reduction.

Because Foulks cannot satisfy the first criterion under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for

obtaining a sentence reduction, the Court does not have subject matter jurisdiction to consider

his reduction request. See Forman, 553 F.3d at 588; Lawrence, 535 F.3d at 637-38. The Court

therefore **GRANTS** counsel's motion to withdraw (Doc. 359) and **DISMISSES** Foulks' motions

for a sentence reduction (Docs. 333 & 363) for lack of jurisdiction.

The Clerk of the U.S. District Court is hereby Ordered to mail a copy of this Order to

defendant Ricardo Foulks, Reg. No. 05354-025, FCI Greenville, P.O. Box 5000, Greenville, IL

62246.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

**DATED:** January 21, 2010.

s/ J. Phil Gilbert

J. PHIL GILBERT

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

3